when they were. Indeed, they are trying to disclaim responsibility for things they themselves did.

Most frequently that has happened with the 1997 Budget Act, which cut Medicare and imposed unrealistic caps, and which a lot of Members are now acting as if they stumbled across this somewhere in a room and have no idea how it got here.

But now we have a new version of this, the Republican pledge that we will not spend any of the Social Security surplus, which they vigorously express while they are simultaneously bringing out appropriations bills which spend the Social Security surplus. That reached a new height the other day when we passed a resolution which was a memorandum from the House to the House pledging not to do what we were in fact in the process of doing.

Claiming that we will never spend the Social Security surplus this year, while we are, according to the Congressional Budget Office in fact doing exactly that, is about the starkest contrast between rhetoric and reality in recent times.

AMERICA'S CHOICE ON SOCIAL SECURITY

(Mr. GIBBONS asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. GIBBONS. Mr. Speaker, the American public and the American people deserve to hear the truth about who will better protect Social Security and America's future. Under the Democratic-controlled Congress, Congress raided the Social Security trust fund in every year, in every budget, for nearly three decades. Why? So they could pay for bigger, more wasteful government bureaucracy.

Now this Congress, for the first time, has a chance to stop this incredulous thief of big-government spending, the one who steals from the future of Social Security.

Since the Republicans have taken control of Congress, we have slowed the runaway government spending of our colleagues over here on the left and begun balancing the budget for the first time in nearly 40 years and will do this without dipping into Social Security surpluses.

The American public needs to tell the tax-and-spend Democrats and the President to quit raiding Social Security and work with the Republicans to better protect Social Security and America's future.

Americans have a clear choice, support a strong Republican principle of saving Social Security and securing America's future, or support the Democrat's expanding, expensive new government and their tax-and-spend bureaucracy.

Mr. Speaker, it is America's choice.

BE HONEST WITH THE AMERICAN PEOPLE REGARDING SOCIAL SECURITY

(Mr. TRAFICANT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. TRAFICANT. Mr. Speaker, Social Security is in trouble, and I am not going to blame either party. There is enough blame to go around on everybody. Congress has tried Gramm-Rudman, budget caps, lockboxes, and now some in Congress even want to create a zodiac ploy of a 13th month. Beam me up, Mr. Speaker.

Let us be honest. As long as Social Security money is there, available to be spent, it will be spent, by both parties. I say it is time for a constitutional amendment that says Social Security money can only be used for Social Security and Medicare. Let us be honest with the American people.

I yield back all the good intentions of Congress that have not worked and will not work about Social Security.

CBO STATES REPUBLICAN SPEND-ING PLAN WILL NOT USE PRO-JECTED SOCIAL SECURITY SUR-PLUS

(Mr. DeLAY asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. DELAY. Mr. Speaker, during August the ranking member on the Committee on the Budget tried to write a Republican budget, and he made certain assumptions that the Republicans are not going to do. He sent a letter to the Congressional Budget Office asking if we would spend the Social Security surplus under his Democrat-written Republican budget.

Well, of course the CBO wrote back that under that budget, the Social Security surplus would be spent. They cannot even write a Republican budget.

The budget that we sent to the CBO that we are actually going to pass in this House and send to the President was sent to the CBO yesterday, and here is the letter back to the Speaker, Mr. Speaker, that says, "CBO estimates that this spending plan will not use any of the projected Social Security surplus in fiscal year 2000."

So, media, listen up. Why do you not get it right? At least comment on the plan that the Republicans are putting before the House and the Senate and the CBO numbers that reflect that plan.

 \Box 1015

THE EARNED INCOME TAX CREDIT FOR LOW- AND MODERATE-IN-COME WORKING AMERICANS SHOULD NOT BE DELAYED

(Mr. TIERNEY asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. TIERNEY. Mr. Speaker, it appears that our Republican colleagues, the Republican Party leadership, have a real dilemma on their hands. After forcing through Congress a budget resolution that we already knew was simply unrealistic and that in order to implement it it would require disastrous reductions in programs for the needy and others, they are desperate to find some additional funds to finish the appropriations process so they can limp out of town.

Well, what to do when one needs to come up with a quick eight or nine billion dollars? According to the Republican leadership, the plan goes like this: Their plan is to find the money and pass the appropriations bills by delaying payment of the earned income tax credit to 20 million low- and moderate-income working American families. That is right. They want to delay payment of the earned income tax credit to 20 million low- and moderateincome working Americans. That means that the only Americans who would bear the burden of delaying the tax refunds are those whose earnings permit them a refund so they can afford to commute to work, for their jobs to keep clothes on the children and to feed their families.

Is there anyone who really believes that the most intelligent way to raise money to cover the shortfalls called for in the failed Republican budget is to make more money from low- to moderate-income taxpayers? I truly hope not.

LIBERAL BIG SPENDERS THREATEN SOCIAL SECURITY

(Mr. CHABOT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. CHABOT. Mr. Speaker, let me see if I have this right. As a result of balancing the Federal budget for the first time in a generation, the Republican Congress has created a recordbreaking budget surplus. President Clinton, who opposed spending restraints every step of the way, now takes credit for that surplus. At the same time, and apparently with a straight face, the President calls for billions and billions of dollars in new spending programs, threatens to veto legislation because it does not spend enough, and calls for tax increases on the American people to pay for yet more Washington spending. Joined by his liberal allies in the Congress, he intends to raid the Social Security trust fund yet once again.

Mr. Speaker, we have been entrusted by the American people to protect their Social Security program. Let us not allow President Clinton and his big spending friends to betray that trust. Let us hold the line on runaway spending. Let us protect the taxpayers. Let us ensure the solvency of our Social Security system. Stop the raid, Mr. President. Stop the raid.

AT LEAST ONE ABUSIVE TAX SHELTER COULD HAVE BEEN CUT INSTEAD OF THE EARNED INCOME TAX CREDIT

(Mr. DOGGETT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. DOGGETT. Mr. Speaker, of course the raid on Social Security is the one our Republican friends have already incurred through this year, but what I would like to focus on are the millions of Americans that are out there right now preparing our lunch at a fast food restaurant, caring for seniors at a nursing home or for our children at a child care center, a young police officer who is putting his or her life on the line, a young teacher trying to assure educational opportunity; all of these folks working at low-paid jobs, as they work, receive an earned income tax credit as an incentive to work, to contribute, to pay their taxes.

It is to this group of working American families that this Republican majority has turned at this very hour to finance their fiscal irresponsibility. They could have closed at least one abusive corporate tax shelter. They could have ended a tax loophole, but instead they turned to working Americans in what one executive at H&R Block says would "cause confusion and disrupt the personal lives of hard-working American families" by delaying their tax refund. This is wrong. This tactic must be rejected.

GREEN BAY, WISCONSIN: THE ALL-AMERICAN CITY

(Mr. GREEN of Wisconsin asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. GREEN of Wisconsin. Mr. Speaker, on a lighter topic, in my district last weekend we received formal recognition of what we from Green Bay have known for a long time, our area is one of the best places in America to live.

Thanks to the National Civic League and Allstate Insurance, who sponsored this wonderful program, Green Bay was named an All-American City. Our area does indeed represent the very best of grass-root citizen involvement, cre-

ative community effort, and collaborative problem solving the three key qualities embodied by this award.

I am proud to say that Green Bay is on the march, taking aggressive steps to meet its challenges in the most innovative ways we can. Those who live in Green Bay want to put the rest of the Nation on notice, there is another key quality of character we hold dear: The relentless pursuit of excellence. The All-American City award is not the end of a journey but merely another milepost in a longer journey to make sure that our area is the greatest place in the world to live, and we will not rest until we get there.

THE REPUBLICANS NEED TO GET THEIR HEADS OUT OF THE SAND

(Mr. LEWIS of Georgia asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. LEWIS of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, yesterday the Republicans launched a new ad campaign accusing the Democrats of dipping into the Social Security surplus.

In these ads, Republicans vowed to draw a line in the sand. It is time for the Republicans to get their heads out of the sand. Their own spending plan for next year takes \$18 billion out of the Social Security surplus. Instead of running attack ads, it is time we start working together to pass a budget that addresses the needs of the American people.

The American people, working families, seniors, and children, are waiting for this Congress to stand up and do something. The truth will set us free. The truth will liberate us all. It is time for us all to put our cards on the table. It is time for the Republicans to tell the truth. Speak the truth to the American people. That is what the American people deserve. That is what they need and that is what they want.

WHERE IS THE OUTRAGE WHEN A DEMOCRATIC MAYOR HONORS COMMUNIST RULE IN THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA?

(Mr. SCHAFFER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. SCHAFFER. Mr. Speaker, 223 years ago, the Declaration of Independence was signed in Philadelphia, but just 5 days ago there was a different sort of revolt on the steps of Philadelphia's city hall. A crowd of citizens gathered there to protest a far more pernicious kind of tyranny than that which confronted the Founders themselves. It seems that the city's mayor, Ed Rendell, convened a, quote, celebration to honor and commemorate 50 years of Communist rule in the Peo-

ple's Republic of China; that, according to the Philadelphia Enquirer.

Now, Mayor Rendell is a Democrat; in fact, he is a prominent one. Do we think other Democrats denounced Rendell's celebration of Communist rule? Did they reprimand him for praising the very regime which today points 13 nuclear missiles at his country? Did they cry out about the communist destruction of human dignity and human rights? No.

Last week, Democrats made Rendell chairman of their party, head of the Democratic National Committee.

I am not making this up, Mr. Speaker

What is next? Will Chairman Rendell print his party's platform in little red books?

REPUBLICANS CANNOT HAVE IT ALL

(Mr. MENENDEZ asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. MENENDEZ. Mr. Speaker, crafting a responsible budget is about choices. It is about priorities. We Democrats want to use the surplus to save Social Security and Medicare, pay down the debt, and educate our kids; but Republicans want to use the surplus to fund their risky \$800 billion tax giveaway.

Now we Democrats stand by our priorities because we know that they are the priorities of the American people, but Republicans cannot seem to figure out what they stand for. One minute they are for a huge tax cut for the wealthy. Then they claim their number one priority is saving Social Security. Then they are the party of education. Then it is paying down the debt. Republicans have yet to accept the responsibility of leadership because they cannot have it all.

Right now, their own Congressional Budget Office says their plan breaks the spending caps. It busts the budget. If we are going to save Social Security and Medicare and pay down our debt, then they cannot have an \$800 billion tax giveaway. Democrats know that. The President knows that, and the American people know that.

Apparently, with one day left in the fiscal year, Republicans have their heads buried in the sand and their priorities all mixed up.

WOMEN AND CHILDREN'S RESOURCES ACT

(Mr. PITTS asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. PITTS. Mr. Speaker, according to the Alan Guttmacher Institute, the